The push-pull intercrop *Desmodium* does not repel, but intercepts and kills pests 1 2 Anna Laura Erdei^{1,2*}, Aneth Bella David^{1,3*}, Eleni C. Savvidou^{1,4}, Vaida Džemedžionaitė¹, 3 4 Advaith Chakravarthy¹, Béla Péter Molnár², Teun Dekker^{1#} 5 6 ¹Department of Plant Protection Biology, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Alnarp, 7 Sweden 8 ²Department of Zoology, Plant Protection Institute, Centre for Agricultural Research, ELRN, 9 Budapest, Hungary 10 ³Department of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, University of Dar-es-Salaam (UDSM), Dar-es-Salaam, Tanzania 11 ⁴Department of Agriculture Crop Production and Rural Environment, University of Thessaly, 12 Volos Greece 13 14 15 16 * Shared first authorship # Corresponding author: teun.dekker@slu.se 17 18 19 20 Over two decades ago, scientists developed a push-pull intercropping strategy that received critical acclaim for synergizing food security with ecosystem resilience in smallholder 21 farming. The strategy suppresses Lepidopteran pests in maize through a combination of a 22 23 repellent intercrop (push), commonly *Desmodium* spp., and an attractive, dead-end border crop (pull). Key is the intercrop's constitutive release of volatiles that repel herbivores. 24 Surprisingly, however, we found that *Desmodium* does not constitutively release volatiles, 25 26 and only minimally upon herbivory. Further, in oviposition choice settings, Spodoptera frugiperda, a devastating invasive pest, was not repelled by Desmodium volatiles. In search 27 of an alternative mechanism, we found that neonate larvae strongly preferred *Desmodium* 28 29 over maize. However, their development stagnated and none survived. In addition, larvae were frequently seen impaled and immobilized by the dense network of silica-fortified, 30 non-glandular trichomes. Thus, entirely different from repelling adult moths, Desmodium 31 32 intercepts and decimates dispersing offspring. As a hallmark of sustainable pest control, maize-Desmodium intercropping has inspired countless efforts trying to emulate a 33 misconceived stimulo-deterrent diversion in other cropping systems. However, detailed 34 knowledge of the actual mechanisms is required to rationally improve the strategy, and 35 translate the concept into other cropping systems. 36 37

- 38
- 39
- 40

41 Main text

Since the dawn of agriculture, humanity has been in an arms race with insect pests. Traditionally, 42 a set of integrated cultivation strategies tailored to local settings helped keeping pests at bay, 43 including associational resistance through varietal mixtures and intercropping¹⁻³. With the advent 44 of agrochemicals, monocultures superseded traditional strategies. However, their profound 45 externalities on ecosystem resilience and global climate^{4,5} have resuscitated interest in more 46 sustainable alternatives, frequently grafted on traditional strategies. Trending terms such as 47 agroecology, and climate smart, regenerative or organic agriculture evidence the search for 48 solutions that harmonize food production and pest control with ecological sustainability. Some 49 50 innovative practices have been important sources of inspiration. Among these, the push-pull strategy in which maize is intercropped with the legume, *Desmodium*, is arguably the most well 51 known⁶. 52

Push-pull aims to reduce the abundance of insect pests in crops through repelling the pest in the 53 54 crop, while simultaneously providing attractive sources to trap the pest out (formalized by Miller 55 and Cowles⁷). Using this 'stimulo-deterrent diversion' principle, a push-pull strategy was devised to combat Lepidopteran pests in sub-Saharan smallholder maize farming^{8,9}. 56 Embroidering on the common practice of smallholder farmers to intercrop maize with e.g. edible 57 pulses, the strategy uses the perennial fodder legume *Desmodium* as intercrop in maize plots. 58 Desmodium reportedly constitutively releases large amounts of terpenes (such as (E)-4,8-59 dimethyl-1,3,7-nonatriene ((E)-DMNT), (E)- β -ocimene and cedrene) that repel ('push') 60 lepidopteran pests and attract natural enemies ('pull')¹⁰. A 'dead-end' host sown as border crop 61 (another 'pull' component), typically napier grass, complements the strategy as it induces 62 oviposition in Lepidoptera, but reduces larval survival compared to maize¹¹⁻¹³. This cropping 63 strategy reduces infestations of various Lepidoptera pests, including Chilo partellus and 64 Busseola fusca, as well as Spodoptera frugiperda, a polyphagous invasive pest that is ravaging 65 maize and vegetable production and threatens food security in sub-Saharan Africa^{14,15}. Strongly 66 propagated by institutions and governments¹⁶⁻²¹, this intercropping strategy has found 67 widespread adoption in East Africa. As a hallmark of sustainable pest control, it also serves as a 68 tremendous source of inspiration for intervention strategies in other cropping systems. 69

The 'push' volatiles reported in previous studies^{11,12} are typically released by plants after 70 induction by herbivory. This begs the question of why Desmodium releases these volatiles 71 constitutively. Push-pull maize-Desmodium intercropping causes substantial shifts in below-72 73 ground ecosystems, including increased soil microbe diversification, increased soil nitrogen and 74 carbon, increased plant defense through plant-soil feedback, and suppression of parasitic weeds and pathogenic microbes^{22,23}. We therefore verified if the 'constitutive' release of volatiles was, 75 76 in fact, induced or enhanced by soil-borne interactions. The root-microbe interactions are of 77 particular interest, given the intimate association of legumes with specific microbial groups e.g. rhizobia and mycorrhizae. Indeed, soil and root-microbe interactions can induce pathways that 78 79 lead to release of volatiles^{e.g., 22,24}.

Surprisingly, however, *D. intortum*, which is by far the most commonly used intercrop in pushpull technology¹⁰, did not release volatiles constitutively at all (Figure 1a, b, Extended Data, Figure 2 and 3). This was independent of the soil in which *D. intortum* was grown, whether live soil (organic potting soil, organic clay Swedish soil or African clay loam soil from *D. intortum* plots), autoclaved soil, or autoclaved soils inoculated with mycorrhiza or rhizobacteria (Extended

Data, Figure 4, 5 and 6). None of the previously reported terpenes¹² were constitutively released,

86 nor any terpene or other volatiles that are typically released upon herbivory. Similar results were

87 obtained with *D. uncinatum* (Extended Data, Figure 7). In contrast, we did confirm that *Melinis*

88 *minutiflora*, a Poaceae used previously as a push intercrop, constitutively releases a diverse blend

89 of terpenes in large quantities (Extended Data, Figure 2, 3 and 8). Clearly, independent of soil

90 interactions, *Desmodium* does not constitutively release volatiles.

Although the constitutive release of volatiles is an important precondition for push-pull, 91 92 inadvertent herbivory of *Desmodium* could have induced volatile release reported in earlier 93 studies. However, D. intortum only minimally released induced volatiles when either mechanically damaged or when fed upon by S. frugiperda larvae (Figure 1a-d, Extended Data, 94 Figure 2 and 3). This contrasted with maize, which, in line with previous studies^{25–27}, released 95 large amounts of herbivore-induced volatiles in response to herbivory, with emission peaking 96 between 24 and 48 hrs following infestation, and declining over the course of 7 days (Figure 1c). 97 Herbivory of *M. minutiflora* did not significantly boost release of volatiles above the already 98 high constitutive release (Figure 1b, Extended Data, Figure 2 and 3). 99

Arguably, greenhouse conditions are not representative of field conditions and additional, 100 unknown factors in the field may cause the release of volatiles by Desmodium. We therefore 101 102 analyzed 50 headspace samples from D. intortum from seven locations in Tanzania and Uganda. 103 Also under field conditions, terpene release by D. intortum was minimal (Figure 2, Extended Data, Figure 8), and possibly induced by herbivory that was visible on most sampled plants. 104 Thus, regardless of whether constitutive or induced, Desmodium does not release terpene 105 volatiles, or any other volatiles, in large quantities in the field. Although it cannot be excluded 106 that other conditions or herbivores may induce higher release of reported volatiles, our data with 107 numerous samples under different growth conditions, and from different geographic regions 108 show that this must be very rare, and can therefore not be at the core of a generic strategy. In 109 contrast, maize, all of which displayed some herbivore damage, did release typical herbivore 110 induced volatiles^{25,26} (Fig 2, Extended Data, Figure 8), with variations likely due to differing 111 levels of and age since herbivore infestations, which could not be controlled in the field. 112

Ironically, if the mode of action in maize-*Desmodium* push pull was repellent terpene volatiles, induced maize itself would appear a much better push candidate than *Desmodium*. Although the lack of volatiles emitted made it highly unlikely that *Desmodium* repels lepidopteran pests, we double checked this in bioassays. In a wind tunnel, gravid *S. frugiperda* were given a choice between maize plants with either *D. intortum* or artificial plants in the background (Extended Data, Figure 1). Adult females landed and oviposited on either maize plant equally, underlining that *D. intortum* volatiles indeed did not repel gravid *S. frugiperda* (Figure 3c).

Evidently, to explain the suppression of lepidopteran pests using *Desmodium* as intercrop, one 120 needs to invoke a different mechanism than 'stimulo-deterrent diversion' or 'push-pull'. To 121 investigate possible alternatives we scored female S. frugiperda oviposition preference, larval 122 feeding preference, and larval survival on maize and Desmodium. First, in two-choice tests S. 123 frugiperda preferred oviposition on maize over Desmodium. However, the preference was not 124 125 strong, as females also oviposited on Desmodium. In the field, one could perhaps expect a further shift toward Desmodium, particularly when maize is small and Desmodium, a perennial, well 126 developed. However, irrespective of female oviposition choice, many lepidopteran larvae are 127 128 known to disperse from the plant on which they hatched. Neonate larvae typically 'parachute'

between plants using silk threads^{28–30}, whereas later larval stages actively disperse across the soil 129 surface in search for new host plants³⁰⁻³². Given the dense, continuous ground cover of 130 Desmodium in the interrows, stochastically the large majority of dispersing larvae would end up 131 132 in *Desmodium*, particularly when maize plants are small and *Desmodium*, a perennial, large. We therefore verified the preference and survival of S. frugiperda larvae on Desmodium compared to 133 maize. Surprisingly, first instar larvae strongly preferred D. intortum over maize, both in choice 134 and in leaf area consumed (Figure 3d,e). However, their development stagnated, with hardly any 135 larva molting to the second instar, and none completing their development (Figure 3f, Extended 136 Data, Figure 9). 137

- In addition to stagnating development, we found that larvae, particularly later larval instars, 138 moved slowly on *Desmodium* leaves and stems, while many were immobilized entirely. Closer 139 140 scrutiny of D. intortum surfaces revealed a dense network of non-glandular, uniseriate and uncinate trichomes, with densities and a distribution depending on the surface type (Figure 4a - d, 141 f, Extended Data, Figure 10a). The stems and main veins of the leaves were particularly densely 142 populated with uncinate trichomes. First instar larvae were somewhat freely moving and grazing 143 144 between trichomes (Extended Data, Figure 10b,c), but older larvae were seen impaled and immobilized by these trichomes (Figure 4c,d, Extended Data, Figure 10d-f). Occasionally, even 145 146 ovipositing S. frugiperda were immobilized with their ovipositor on D. intortum (Extended Data, Figure 10g). Whereas trichomes were flexible at the base, they were fortified with silica toward 147 the tip (Figure 4f), equipping the plant with an effective mechanism to obstruct, damage and 148 immobilize herbivores. Also beneficial insects (Extended Data Figure 10i) and even vertebrates 149 can be trapped by *Desmodium*³³. Similar structures are also used by many other plant species^{34–36}, 150
- and may serve multiple purposes including seed dispersal 37,38 .

We thus infer that in the field *Desmodium* trichomes affect fitness of lepidopteran larvae, both 152 directly and indirectly. First, Desmodium entices larval feeding, but truncates larval development. 153 Second, trichomes on *Desmodium* hinder movement, damage the cuticle and even entirely 154 155 immobilize larvae on the plant, increasing developmental time, exposure to natural enemies and overall mortality^{39,40}. Third, the ingestion of trichomes will damage the intestinal lining and 156 affect digestion, development and survival^{40,41}. Indeed, while first instar larvae easily fed around 157 the trichomes, larger larvae did ingest trichomes as evidenced by trichomes found in larval frass. 158 Effectively, rather than functioning as a repellent intercrop, Desmodium appears to be a 159 160 developmental deathtrap for larvae.

Clearly 'push' does not describe the mode-of-action of *Desmodium*. Instead, the plant exhibits 161 properties reminiscent of a 'pull' crop, a 'dead-end host'. Although superficially similar in mode 162 of action to the 'pull' border crop Napier grass, Desmodium is distinctly different, as it is 163 preferred by larvae, not by adults^{8,10}. In addition, *Desmodium* forms a mechanical barrier to 164 dispersing larvae. Further field studies need to detail how oviposition preference, larval dispersal, 165 development and survival on *Desmodium*, mechanical obstruction by *Desmodium*, and additional 166 mechanisms such as parasitization and predation, interplays with crop phenology in suppressing 167 various lepidopteran species across the cropping season. Knowing the exact interaction of 168 mechanisms is critical if we for instance wish to substitute the fodder crop Desmodium with a 169 170 food crop to enhance food security, or if we are to translate the concept of interceptive intercropping to other cropping systems. 171

The surprising discovery that *Desmodium* hardly emits volatiles and does not repel herbivores 172 contrasts strongly with the very large number of publications and the huge global attention that 173 maize-Desmodium push-pull technology has garnered over more than two decades. Indeed, the 174 175 narrative of the 'push' crop *Desmodium* repelling moths has been touted by numerous papers since its first mention around the year 2000. Astonishingly, however, close scrutiny of the 176 literature revealed a total absence of primary data. Whereas the most cited paper from around 177 2000, Khan and colleagues¹², mentions some of the *Desmodium* volatiles and claims repellence 178 179 of stemborers, no primary chemical analytical or behavioral data were presented in this paper, nor in any preceding or ensuing paper. Equally remarkable is how, in spite of thousands of 180 citations and an abundance of efforts to emulate push-pull in other cropping systems, this crucial 181 detail has collectively slipped the attention of the scientific community. As such the unjustified 182 use of 'push' for describing maize-Desmodium intercropping has misdirected numerous research 183 efforts worldwide and slowed progress on innovative sustainable pest-suppressive intercropping 184 strategies, including several research projects of authors of this paper. 185

Further research should study how pest suppression in interceptive intercropping is affected by factors such as pest species, natural enemies, crop phenology, insect population dynamics, and abiotic factors including soil and climate, and others. This will be pivotal for improving the current maize intercropping strategy, tailoring it to the needs of local smallholder farmers and other ecosystem services sought after (e.g. replacing *Desmodium* with food crops with similar

191 properties^{34–36,41-43}), as well as rationally translating the concept to other cropping systems.

193 **REFERENCES**

194	1.	Abate, T., van Huis, A. & Ampofo, J. K. O. Pest management strategies in traditional
195		agriculture: an African perspective. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 45, 631-659 (2000).
196	2.	Snyder, L. D., Gómez, M. I. & Power, A. G. Crop varietal mixtures as a strategy to
197		support insect pest control, yield, economic, and nutritional services. Front. Sustain.
198		Food Syst. 4, 60 (2020).
199	3.	Wuest, S. E., Peter, R. & Niklaus, P. A. Ecological and evolutionary approaches to
200		improving crop variety mixtures. Nat. Ecol. Evol. 5, 1068–1077 (2021).
201	4.	Altieri, M. A. The ecological impacts of large-scale agrofuel monoculture production
202		systems in the americas. Bull. Sci. Technol. Soc. 29, 236-244 (2009).
203	5.	Shukla, P. R et al. Climate change and land: an IPCC special report on climate change,
204		desertification, land degradation, sustainable land management, food security, and
205		greenhouse gas fluxes in terrestrial ecosystems.
206		https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/wg1/downloads/report/IPCC_AR6_WGI_Full_Report.pdf
207		(2019).
208	6.	Cook, S. M., Khan, Z. R. & Pickett, J. A. The use of push-pull strategies in integrated
209		pest management. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 52, 375-400 (2007).
210	7.	Miller, J. R. & Cowles, R. S. Stimulo-deterrent diversion: A concept and its possible
211		application to onion maggot control. J. Chem. Ecol. 16, 3197-3212 (1990).
212	8.	Khan, Z. R. et al. Utilisation of wild gramineous plants for management of cereal
213		stemborers in Africa. Insect Sci. Its Appl. 17, 143-150 (1997).
214	9.	Khan, Z. R., Midega, C. A. O., Bruce, T. J. A., Hooper, A. M. & Pickett, J. A. Exploiting
215		phytochemicals for developing a 'push-pull' crop protection strategy for cereal farmers in
216		Africa. J. Exp. Bot. 61, 4185–4196 (2010).

217	10. Hassanali, A., Herren, H., Khan, Z. R., Pickett, J. A. & Woodcock, C. M. Integrated pest
218	management: The push-pull approach for controlling insect pests and weeds of cereals,
219	and its potential for other agricultural systems including animal husbandry. Philos. Trans.
220	<i>R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.</i> 363 , 611–621 (2008).
221	11. Khan, Z. R. et al. Intercropping increases parasitism of pests. Nature 388, 631-632
222	(1997).
223	12. Khan, Z. R., Pickett, J. A., Berg, J. van den, Wadhams, L. J. & Woodcock, C. M.
224	Exploiting chemical ecology and species diversity: stem borer and striga control for
225	maize and sorghum in Africa. Pest Manag. Sci. 56, 957-962 (2000).
226	13. Khan, Z., Midega, C. A. O., Hooper, A. & Pickett, J. Push-pull: chemical ecology-based
227	integrated pest management technology. J. Chem. Ecol. 42, 689-697 (2016).
228	14. Midega, C. A. O., Pittchar, J. O., Pickett, J. A., Hailu, G. W. & Khan, Z. R. A climate-
229	adapted push-pull system effectively controls fall armyworm, Spodoptera frugiperda (J E
230	Smith), in maize in East Africa. Crop Prot. 105, 10-15 (2018).
231	15. Feldmann, F., Rieckmann, U. & Winter, S. The spread of the fall armyworm Spodoptera
232	frugiperda in Africa—What should be done next? J. Plant Dis. Prot. 126, 97–101 (2019).
233	16. Khan, Z. Extending the "Push-pull" technology for East African smallholder agriculture.
234	https://www.kilimotrust.org/documents/project%20report/ICIPE%20Final%20Report.pdf
235	(2011).
236	17. Niassy, S. et al. Performance of push-pull technology in low-fertility soils under
237	conventional and conservation agriculture farming systems in Malawi. Sustainability 14,
238	2162 (2022).

239	18. Nkurunziza, M. €7.6m project to combat pests using eco-friendly technologies. The New
240	Times https://www.newtimes.co.rw/business/eu76m-project-combat-pests-using-eco-
241	friendly-technologies (2021).
242	19. ICIPE. ICIPE push-pull in Rwanda.
243	http://www.icipe.org/news/icipe-push-pull-rwanda (2019).
244	20. Government of Rwanda. Green growth and climate resilience: National strategy for
245	climate change and low carbon development. CDKN
246	https://cdkn.org/sites/default/files/files/Rwanda-Green-Growth-Strategy-FINAL1.pdf
247	(2011).
248	21. Kenya National Assembly. Kenya Gazette Supplement no. 42 (National assembly bills no.
249	25). http://www.parliament.go.ke/sites/default/files/2019-
250	06/Crops%20%28Amendment%29%20Bill%2C%202019.pdf (2019).
251	22. Mutyambai, D. M. et al. More than "push" and "pull"? Plant-soil feedbacks of maize
252	companion cropping increase chemical plant defenses against herbivores. Front. Ecol.
253	Evol. 7, 217 (2019).
254	23. Mwakilili, A. D. et al. Long-term maize-Desmodium intercropping shifts structure and
255	composition of soil microbiome with stronger impact on fungal communities. Plant Soil
256	(2021) doi:10.1007/s11104-021-05082-w.
257	24. Malone, S. C. et al. Herbivore-induced volatile emissions are altered by soil legacy
258	effects in cereal cropping systems. Plant Soil 455, 171-186 (2020).
259	25. Turlings, T. C. J., Tumlinson, J. H. & Lewis, W. J. Exploitation of herbivore-induced
260	plant odors by host-seeking parasitic wasps. Science 250, 1251-1253 (1990).

261	26. Degen, T., Dillmann, C., Marion-Poll, F. & Turlings, T. C. J. High genetic variability of
262	herbivore-induced volatile emission within a broad range of maize inbred lines. Plant
263	Physiol. 135, 1928–1938 (2004).
264	27. von Mérey, G. E., Veyrat, N., D'Alessandro, M. & Turlings, T. C. J. Herbivore-induced
265	maize leaf volatiles affect attraction and feeding behavior of Spodoptera littoralis
266	caterpillars. Front. Plant Sci. 4, (2013).
267	28. Njuguna, E. et al. Experiences and perspectives on Spodoptera frugiperda (Lepidoptera:
268	Noctuidae) management in Sub-Saharan Africa. J. Integr. Pest Manag. 12, 7 (2021).
269	29. Rojas, J. C., Kolomiets, M. V. & Bernal, J. S. Nonsensical choices? Fall armyworm
270	moths choose seemingly best or worst hosts for their larvae, but neonate larvae make
271	their own choices. PLOS ONE 13, e0197628 (2018).
272	30. Sokame, B. M., Subramanian, S., Kilalo, D. C., Juma, G. & Calatayud, P. Larval
273	dispersal of the invasive fall armyworm, Spodoptera frugiperda, the exotic stem-borer
274	Chilo partellus, and indigenous maize stem-borers in Africa. Entomol. Exp. Appl. 168,
275	322–331 (2020).
276	31. Berger, A. Larval migration and pest management of the spotted stem-borer Chilo
277	partellus (Swinhoe) (lepidoptera; pyralidae). Int. J. Pest Manag. 40, 6-12 (1994).
278	32. van Rensburg, J. B. J., Walters, M. C. & Giliomee, J. H. Plant population and cultivar
279	effects on yield losses caused by the maize stalk borer, Busseola fusca (Lepidoptera:
280	Noctuidae). South Afr. J. Plant Soil 5, 215–218 (1988).
281	33. Coleman, D. The dangers of <i>Desmodium</i> . http://www.cocreate4science.org/wp-
282	content/uploads/Desmodium-poster-pdf.pdf (2016).

283	34. Ballhorn, D. J., Godschalx, A. L. & Kautz, S. Co-variation of chemical and mechanical
284	defenses in lima bean (Phaseolus lunatus L.). J. Chem. Ecol. 39, 413-417 (2013).
285	35. Gilbert, L. E. Butterfly-plant coevolution: Has Passiflora adenopoda won the selectional
286	race with Heliconiinae butterflies? Science 172, 585–586 (1971).
287	36. Xing, Z. et al. Efficiency of trichome-based plant defense in <i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> depends
288	on insect behavior, plant ontogeny, and structure. Front. Plant Sci. 8, 2006 (2017).
289	37. Sorensen, A. E. Seed dispersal by adhesion. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 17, 443-463 (1986).
290	38. Freitas, D. M., Reis, A., Da Costa Bortoluzzi, R. L. & Santos, M. Morphological and
291	micromorphological characteristics of Desmodium fruits (Leguminosae: Papilionoideae).
292	<i>Rev. Biol. Trop.</i> 62 , 1597 (2014).
293	39. Kariyat, R. R., Smith, J. D., Stephenson, A. G., De Moraes, C. M. & Mescher, M. C.
294	Non-glandular trichomes of Solanum carolinense deter feeding by Manduca sexta
295	caterpillars and cause damage to the gut peritrophic matrix. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 284,
296	20162323 (2017).
297	40. Kaur, I. & Kariyat, R. R. Eating barbed wire: Direct and indirect defensive roles of
298	non-glandular trichomes. Plant Cell Environ. 43, 2015–2018 (2020).
299	41. Acevedo, F. E., Peiffer, M., Ray, S., Tan, CW. & Felton, G. W. Silicon-Mediated
300	Enhancement of herbivore resistance in agricultural crops. Front. Plant Sci. 12, 631824
301	(2021).
302	42. Johnson, B. The injurious effects of the hooked epidermal hairs of french beans
303	(Phaseolus vulgaris L.) on Aphis craccivora Koch. Bull. Entomol. Res. 44, 779–788
304	(1953).

305 43. QulRing, D. T., Timmins, P. R. & Park, S. J. Effect of variations in hooked trichome

306 densities of *Phaseolus vulgaris* on longevity of *Liriomyza trifolii* (Diptera: Agromyzidae)

307 Adults. Environ. Entomol. 21, 1357–1361 (1992).

309

Fig. 1: *Desmodium intortum* does not constitutively release terpene volatiles, and hardly following larval feeding.

312 **a**, Nonmetric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) analysis of volatiles emitted by *D. intortum*, *Z.* mays cv. Delprim and M. minutiflora plants, intact and 48 hrs following S. frugiperda feeding 313 (stress value = 0.138). (E)-4,8-dimethyl-1,3,7-nonatriene ((E)-DMNT), (Z)- β -ocimene, (E)- β -314 ocimene and (E)-alloocimene were not constitutively released, and only in low quantities in 315 response to herbivory. Volatiles emitted by intact and herbivore-induced D. intortum ($F_{model} =$ 316 15.597, $R^2 = 0.132$, $p_{adj} = 0.021$) and Z. mays plants ($F_{model} = 50.521$, $R^2 = 0.512$, $p_{adj} = 0.021$) 317 were significantly different in PERMANOVA and pairwise comparison, but emissions from 318 intact and herbivore induced *M. minutiflora* plants ($F_{model} = 1.469$, $R^2 = 0.109$, $p_{adi} = 1$) were not. 319 **b**, (*E*)-DMNT emission before and 48 hrs following herbivory ($n = 8, \pm SE$). The absolute peak 320 areas were divided by the peak area of the internal standard and divided by the sum of 321 monoterpenoids across all laboratory volatile collections for normalization. Treatments with 322 different letters are different (Kruskal-Wallis with Benjamini and Hochberg p value correction, 323 $\gamma^2 = 57.315$, $p = 1.578 \ 10^{-10}$). c, Emission of volatile monoterpenoids and sesquiterpenoids from 324 D. intortum and Z. mays before, during and after S. frugiperda larval feeding ($n = 5, \pm SE$). Peak 325 areas of each terpenoid were divided by the area of the internal standard and divided by the sum 326 of monoterpenoids or sesquiterpenoids across all laboratory volatile collections. Error-bars show 327 328 the standard error for relative volatile emission of each group. Day 0 - volatile emission before 329 herbivory, Day 1 - 24 hrs after herbivory, Day 2 after 48 hrs, and so on. Larvae were removed after 48 hrs. 330

331

Fig. 2: Monoterpenoid and sesquiterpenoid emission by *D. intortum* and *Zea mays* plants under field conditions at several locations in Tanzania and Uganda.

The absolute peak area of each peak was divided by the sum of the area of monoterpenoids or sesquiterpenoid emission across all samples from the same location. Error bars represent \pm SE on the scale of the relative volatile emission. Minor terpenoid compounds were not identified to species level as this was not the focus of the study, and was further hindered by the vast diversity of compounds and the lack of synthetic standards.

339

Fig. 3: *D. intortum* does not repel ovipositing *S. frugiperda*. Instead it is prefered by larvae but truncates their development.

- **a**, The number of eggs laid on D. *intortum* or Z. *mays* plants in choice-experiments in cages (n =342 25) did not differ (Wilcoxon signed rank exact test, p = 0.055). **b**, Number of egg batches laid on 343 D. intortum or Z. mays plants (n = 25, Wilcoxon signed rank exact test, p = 0.075). c. Number of 344 egg batches on Z. mays plants in a background of either D. intortum plant or a plastic plant 345 mimic did not differ in wind tunnel oviposition assays (n = 21, Wilcoxon signed rank exact test, 346 347 p = 0.825). d, First instar S. frugiperda larvae preferred D. intortum against Z. mays in two choice leaf disc bioassays (n = 25, Wilcoxon signed rank exact test, $p = 2.73 \times 10^{-3}$). e, First instar 348 S. frugiperda larvae consumed more D. intortum than Z. mays (20 hrs, two-choice leaf disc 349 bioassays, n = 25, Wilcoxon signed rank exact test, $p = 3.338*10^{-6}$). f, Survival probability of S. 350 frugiperda on diets consisting of D. intortum (greenleaf Desmodium) was lower than on Z. mays, 351 with no larvae surviving on D. intortum. (Kaplan-Meier survival analysis, $p = 2.000 \times 10^{-16}$). Error 352 353 bars, \pm SE.
- 354

Fig. 4: Non-glandular trichomes on *Desmodium intortum* act as a physical barrier for herbivores.

357 **a**, Light microscopy image of a section of a young *D*. *intortum* stem densely covered with trichomes. **b**, Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image of a young *D*. *intortum* stem. Straight 358 uniseriate hairs (up to 2 mm long) extended beyond the large (0.2 - 0.4 mm) and small (0.05 - 0.2 359 360 mm) hooked uncinate trichomes (scale bar: 200 µm). c, A fifth instar S. frugiperda larva impaled and immobilized on a stem of *D. intortum* by both large and small uncinate trichomes. **d**, Fourth 361 instar S. frugiperda larva pierced by uncinate trichomes (red arrows). Trichomes either 362 immobilized larvae or broke off from the basal cell with the tip remaining in the larval body 363 causing severe wounds. e, Distribution of non-glandular trichomes on different parts of the D. 364 intortum plant. The relative abundance was calculated as the mean of trichome count divided by 365 the sum of trichomes per trichome type across samples. Black circles indicate the standard error 366 of relative trichome abundance (n = 5). f, SEM images combining EDX element topography 367 images indicate relative surface silica (Si) distribution (red) of uniseriate, large and small 368 uncinate trichomes (n = 5). 369

371 **METHODS**

372

373 Plants

Seeds of the most common intercrop species in push-pull farming (*Desmodium intortum*, greenleaf *Desmodium*, and *Desmodium uncinatum*, silverleaf desmodium) were acquired from Simlaw seeds Co. Ltd, Nairobi, Kenya). *M. minutiflora* seeds were obtained from the South African Sugarcane Research Institute (SASRI, Mount Edgecombe, South Africa). Maize seeds (*Zea mays* cv. Delprim) were provided by the laboratory of Ted Turlings at University of Neuchâtel, Switzerland. The cultivar is a European commercial hybrid and long-time standard whose volatile emission patterns have been thoroughly studied⁴⁴.

381 Desmodium spp. seeds were sterilized by using 3% NaOCl and rinsed in distilled water and 382 germinated on wet filter paper, and transferred to seedling trays with live or autoclaved soil (121 °C for 20 min). After 21 days the plants were transferred to 18 cm diameter pots containing live 383 or autoclaved soil and were grown for 8 weeks in a greenhouse (22 - 25 °C, light cycle 16:8 hrs,384 RH 65%). Another set of plants were raised from cuttings of mature stem parts of D. intortum 385 386 and rooted in distilled water. Rooted cuttings were then planted in pots containing autoclaved soil with different inoculants: 200 g soil of a Tanzanian push-pull field per each pot, autoclaved 387 soil with 60 mg of *Rhizobium leguminosarum*, *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* mixture per each pot 388 (equal portions of Rhizobia inoculant for Phaseolus beans, and soy beans from Samenfest 389 GmbH., Freiburg, Germany) or autoclaved soil with 120 mg of mycorrhizal fungi inoculate per 390 391 each pot (mixture of Glomus intraradices, G. etunicatum, G. monosporum, G. deserticola, G. 392 clarum, Paraglomus brasilianum, Gigaspora margarita, Rhizopogon villosulus, R. lutcolus, R. 393 amylopogon, R. fulvigleba, Pisolithus tinctorius, Scleroderma cepa and S. citrinum, Wildroot 394 Organic Inc., Texas). The microbial inoculants were premixed in autoclaved soil before plant inoculation. Plants from cuttings grown on autoclaved soil were used as control. M. minutiflora 395 seeds were germinated in live soil in plastic trays, and the seedlings were transferred into pots 396 397 with live soil after two sets of leaves appeared. Eight weeks old M. minutiflora and Desmodium spp. plants were used in the experiments. Maize seeds were planted directly into live or 398 399 autoclaved soil in pots and maintained in the greenhouse for 6 weeks.

For the cage oviposition experiments, maize seeds were sown next to 5 weeks old *D. intortum* plants in 12 cm pots and grown together for three weeks. For the wind tunnel experiments, maize and *D. intortum* plants were grown in separate pots and four to five weeks old maize and nine to

403 eleven weeks old *D. intortum* plants were used.

405 Insect rearing

S. *frugiperda* were obtained from the Ted Turlings laboratory at University of Neuchâtel,
 Switzerland, and were raised on a soybean based semi artificial diet supplemented maize whorls.
 The third instar larvae were separated into groups of ten individuals in plastic boxes.

409 Pupae were sexed and separated in rearing cages. Adults were provided with a 5 % sucrose

solution and 6 days old adults were mated for 6 hrs and used in oviposition experiments.

411

412 Volatile collections

The plants grown in the greenhouse were enclosed in a 60 cm x 20 cm polyethylene (PET) oven 413 414 bag (Toppits[®] 'Bratschlauch', Melitta, Minden, Germany) above ground for 24 hrs to saturate the headspace. Prior to sampling, 2 µl of 250 ng/ul nonane solution in hexane was injected onto a 415 piece of filter paper into the oven bag 40 minutes prior to sampling. Solid phase microextraction 416 (SPME) fibers (DVB/CAR/PDMS 50/30 µm, Supelco, Sigma-Aldrich, Bellefonte, PA, USA) 417 were conditioned at 250 °C in the split/splitless injector of the GC-MS in split mode for 10 418 419 minutes. The SPME fibers were exposed to the closed headspace for 30 minutes. The volatile 420 emission of intact, mechanically damaged and herbivore-damaged plants were sampled. D. intortum plants were mechanically damaged by cutting ten randomly selected leaflets in half, 421 perpendicularly to the midrib. For herbivore-treatment, eight fourth to fifth instar and 12 hrs 422 starved S. frugiperda larvae were put on the plants. In the first sets of experiments the feeding 423

424 period lasted for 48 hrs before volatile sampling.

425 A time series experiment of volatile terpenoid emission following herbivory was performed on *D*.

426 intortum and Z. mays cv. Delprim plants grown on autoclaved soil inoculated with Tanzanian

427 soil. Eight fourth instar larvae were put on each plant after 12 hrs of starving and removed after

428 48 hrs of feeding. The plants were sampled before herbivory and after 24 hrs, 48 hrs of herbivory.

429 Larvae were removed from the plants after 48 hrs and plants were resampled 72 hrs and one

430 week after the start of the experiment. The volatile headspace was closed for 24 hrs before each

431 sampling and the SPME sampling procedure was the same as described above.

Field volatile samples of *D. intortum* (greenleaf *Desmodium*) and *Z. mays* were collected on farmer fields in Tarime and Musoma districts in Mara region, Tanzania, and Rural Community in Development (RUCID) center, in Mityana district, Uganda. Healthy *D. intortum* plants and maize plants with visible herbivore damage were selected and enclosed in 60 cm x 20 cm polyethylene (PET) oven bags for 18 hrs overnight. The use of standard and the SPME volatile sampling procedure was the same as described above.

438

439 Gas chromatography coupled mass spectrometry (GC-MS)

A GC-MS (Agilent technologies, 7890B GC coupled with 5975 MSD) was used for SPME 440 analysis. Fibers were inserted into a 250 °C splitless injection port with The split valve closed for 441 442 1 min. The GC was equipped with a DB-WAX column (60 m x 250 μ m x 0.25 μ m). The carrier gas was helium and the total column flow was 34.883 mL/min. The oven temperature was 443 programmed as follows: 50 °C/min, 10 °C/min to 220 °C, 20 °C/min to 250 °C. The final 444 445 temperature was held for 1 min. The mass spectrometer was used in electron ionization mode 70 eV and the detector scanned in the 29-400 m/z range. Samples were also injected on a GC-MS 446 equipped with an HP-5 column (Agilent technologies, 6890 GC coupled with 5977A MSD, 447 column: 60 m x 250 µm x 0.25 µm), with similar inlet settings and carrier gas (helium). The oven 448

program was as follows: 40 °C/2 min, 8 °C/min to 230 °C. The solvent delay and mass
 spectrometry settings were the same as described above.

451 GC-MS results were analyzed using Agilent Mass Hunter B.08.00, the peaks were auto 452 integrated with agile integrator and manual integration. Compounds were tentatively identified 453 by matching their mass spectra with those found in MS Libraries (NIST11 and Wiley12). The 454 identification was verified by comparing calculated Kovats retention indices (RI) to those 455 published in the NIST WebBook database and PubChem database and comparisons with 456 analytical standards (See list of synthetic compounds in Table S1).

457 **Oviposition choice experiments**

458 We conducted two experiments to study the short-range/multimodal oviposition repellency and 459 long-range/olfactory oviposition repellency of *D. intortum* for *S. frugiperda* females.

460 Short-range/multimodal oviposition repellency experiments

461 In short-range/multimodal oviposition repellency experiments, maize seeds (Z. mays cv. Delprim)

and *D. intortum* cuttings were co-planted. The experiments were conducted three weeks after co-

463 planting, when the biomass of each plant were roughly similar. Plants were placed in $30 \times 30 \times 10^{-10}$

464 30 cm net cages (Bugdorm, Megaview, Taiwan) in a climate chamber set to 25 ± 2 °C, $65\%\pm5\%$ 465 relative humidity and 16:8 h L:D light cycle. Six days old virgin *S. frugiperda*, one female and 466 one male, were mated for 6 hrs and females were let to oviposit for 48 hrs. A cotton ball soaked 467 in 5% sucrose solution was placed between the plants for adult feeding. The egg batches and the 468 number of eggs per each batch were counted at the end of the second day on both plants and the 469 cage surfaces.

470 *Long-range/olfactory oviposition repellency experiments*

To score for spatial repellency of D. intortum, a modified wind tunnel (180 cm x 80 cm x 60 cm, 471 30 cm/s airflow) was used (Extended data, Figure 1). At the furthest upwind part of the flight 472 section of the tunnel, two six-weeks old maize plants (Z. mays cv. Delprim) were positioned at 473 60 cm from each other. Directly upwind and separated by a stainless steel gauze (100 mesh) an 474 eight-weeks old D. intortum or artificial plastic plant was placed directly upwind from the maize 475 plants. In both sections a 20 cm plexiglass sheet was placed in line with the airflow to separate 476 the airflow of the two sides (Extended data, Figure 1). Two six days old females and one six days 477 old male were released in the chamber 1 hr prior to scotophase. A cotton ball soaked in 5% 478 sucrose solution was placed in the chamber at the release side as a source of food. The position 479 480 of the female and the number of egg batches laid on each side of the chamber were recorded after scotophase, 12 hrs following the start of the experiment. 481

482

483 Larval choice experiments

484 We conducted two-choice feeding bioassays to determine the feeding preference of the first

larval instar of *S. frugiperda*. We cut 8 mm diameter leaf discs from young leaves of 6-7 weeks old maize plants and leaves of 10-12 weeks old *D. intortum* plants. We put the leaf discs on wet

486 Old maize plants and leaves of 10-12 weeks old *D. mortum* plants. We put the leaf discs off wet filter paper dises 60 mm apart from each other in 100 mm y 20 mm plastic Detri dishes. Top and

filter paper discs 60 mm apart from each other in 100 mm x 20 mm plastic Petri-dishes. Ten oneday old *S. frugiperda* larvae were placed in each arena and the position of larvae was recorded

day old *S. frugiperda* larvae were placed in each arena and the position of larvae was recorded after 1 h, 2 h and 20 h periods. After 20 h feeding each leaf disk was photographed and the

490 consumed surface area of each disk was determined by image analysis using ImageJ (version 1.53)⁴⁵.

492

493 Larval survival experiments

Larval survival on maize and D. intortum scored in plastic petri-dishes (100 mm x 20 mm), 494 which were lined with wet filter paper to increase humidity. Five first instar S. frugiperda larvae 495 were moved to each arena on the day of egg-hatching and fed daily with an excess amount of 496 freshly cut D. intortum leaves or leaf blades of 4-5 weeks old maize (Z. mays cv. Delprim). After 497 reaching the fourth instar stage, the maize diet was supplemented with the ligule, leaf sheets and 498 499 young stems of maize and the larvae were separated into individual plastic cups to prevent cannibalism. The growth of the larvae was monitored daily and we determined the larval stage 500 based on body coloration and the diameter of head capsules. We terminated the experiment after 501 the insects pupated. 502

503

504 Light microscopy of *Desmodium* spp.

505 Upper and mid stem branches as well as the leaves of healthy 8 weeks old D. intortum plants were sampled for light microscopy. In addition, S. littoralis larvae that were immobilized on D. 506 *uncinatum* and *D. intortum* stems and leaves were observed and photographed with a digital light 507 508 microscope (Keyence VHX-5000, Keyence Corporation, Osaka, Japan) equipped with standard zoom lens (VH-Z20R magnification: 20-200x and VH-Z100R magnification: 100-1000x). For 509 detailed, high depth-of-field images, photo stacking technique was used. Series of images were 510 captured (50-100 depending on the size of the examined larvae) at different focus distances (step 511 size, 20 - 40 µm). Subsequently, partially focused images were combined with Helicon Focus 512 software (Helicon Soft Ltd., Kharkiv, Ukraine) into a high depth of field image. 513

514

515 Scanning electron microscopy of *Desmodium* spp.

To get further insights in the structure of the D. intortum trichomes, scanning electron 516 517 microscopy (SEM) was performed on leaf and stem samples. Healthy leaves and stems were 518 collected from eight-weeks old and one-year old plants from the greenhouse, and scanned using 519 a FEI Quanta 3D scanning electron microscope operating with a field emission gun (FEG) 520 electron source, equipped with SE (LVSED/ETD), BSE (vCD) and EDAX SDD EDS detectors. Low vacuum mode (50-80 Pa specimen chamber pressure) was used in order to avoid sample 521 charging, and allowed us to use plant material without sample fixation, dehydration and sample 522 523 coating. The accelerating voltage was 10-20kV with 40-480 pA beam current. 524 Furthermore the elemental composition of trichomes was studied using energy-dispersive X-ray

- 525 spectroscopy (EDX), acquisition time: 50 sec. Measurements were taken in four regions (base, 526 lower and higher middle and tip) on the longer type of trichomes and from three regions in case 527 of small uncinate trichomes.
- 527 528

529 Statistical analysis

530 In case of each volatile sample the absolute peak areas were divided by the area of the internal 531 standard peak to account for differences in volatile sampling efficiency. The volatile 532 components were categorized into four compound groups: monoterpenoids, sesquiterpenoids, 533 green leaf volatiles and other volatiles. We calculated the total sum of peak areas for these

volatile groups across samples for the laboratory volatile collections and field volatile collections by location. The volatile collections were further normalized across samples by dividing the absolute peak areas by the sum of the total area of the volatile group from the corresponding dataset.

538

The clustered heatmaps of volatile emission profiles were generated from z-scores calculated 539 from the normalized volatile data using package pheatmap⁴⁶. Jaccard dissimilarity indices were 540 calculated from binary (presence/absence) standardized volatile data and non-metric 541 multidimensional scaling (NMDS) was completed using the metaMDS function of package 542 vegan in R⁴⁷. Permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) was completed 543 on Jaccard dissimilarity indices using the adonis function of the vegan package. For assessing 544 differences in the normalized volatile peak areas for (E)-DMNT and (E)- β -ocimene between 545 groups Kruskal- Wallis tests and Wilcoxon rank sum tests were used from package stats with 546 Benjamini and Hochberg p value correction⁴⁸. 547

548

We used Wilcoxon paired rank sum tests with a null hypothesis of random choice using package stats for two-choice oviposition experiments and larval choice experiments⁴⁸. As the statistical power of Wilcoxon paired rank sum tests are limited, we also fitted generalized linear mixed models (GLMM) by maximum likelihood with fixed factor for choice and random factor for replication on the two-choice oviposition data using package lme4⁴⁹. We used the simulationbased test from package DHARMa⁵⁰ to assess the goodness of fit for the complete model. The post hoc tests were completed with the emmeans package using Tukey's comparisons⁵¹.

556

557 Survival probabilities were calculated with Kaplan–Meier survival analysis⁵² and the survival

- curves were compared using a log-rank test between diets in package survival⁵³. Survival curves
 were visualized using package survminer⁵⁴.
- 560

561 **Data availability statement**

Volatile analysis data associated with volatile analysis and behavioral bioassays are available in figshare with the identifier(s) [10.6084/m9.figshare.19297730] and GC-MS raw data from the

564 authors upon reasonable request.

566 METHOD REFERENCES

- 44. de Lange, E. S., Farnier, K., Gaudillat, B., & Turlings, T. C. Comparing the attraction of
- two parasitoids to herbivore-induced volatiles of maize and its wild ancestors, the
- 569 teosintes. *Chemoecology*, 26(1), 33-44 (2016).
- 45. Schneider, C., Rasband, W. & Eliceiri, K. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image
 analysis. *Nat Methods* 9, 671–675 (2012).
- 46. Kolde, R. pheatmap: Pretty heatmaps. R package version 1.0. 12. R Package. version 1.0,
 8. (2019).
- 47. Oksanen, J. et al. Package 'vegan'. Community ecology package. 2, 1–295 (2013).
- 48. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. (2013).
- 49. Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B. & Walker, S. Fitting linear mixed-effects models
 using lme4. *J. Stat. Softw.* 67, (2015).
- 578 50. Hartig, F. DHARMa: Residual diagnostics for hierarchical (multi-level/mixed) regression
 579 models R package version 0.4.4. (2021).
- 580 51. Lenth, R., Singmann, H., Love, J., Buerkner, P. & Herve, M. Emmeans: Estimated
 581 marginal means, aka least-squares means. R package version, 1(1)3. (2018).
- 582 52. Kaplan, E. L. & Meier, P. Nonparametric estimation from incomplete observations. *J. Am.*583 *Stat. Assoc.* 53, 457–481 (1958).
- 53. Therneau, T. M. & Lumley, T. Package 'survival': R package for survival analysis.
- 585 (2015).
- 586 54. Kassambara, A., Kosinski, M., Biecek, P. & Fabian, S. Survminer: Drawing survival
 587 curves using 'ggplot2'. R package version 0.4.9. (2021).

589 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We acknowledge the support of staff at Tanzania Agricultural Research Institute (TARI) 590 Ukiliguru center, especially Dr. Ignath Rwiza and Dr. Abdullah MkigaMwanza, Tanzania, in 591 592 volatile collection in Tanzania. We are grateful to Mr. Samuel Nyanzi at Rural Community in Development (RUCID) center, Mityana, and Dr. Fred Kabi of Makerere University for support 593 with volatile collections in Uganda. We thank Ms. Eva Svensson from Lund University for help 594 with soil autoclave sterilization. We are thankful to Alex Berg for pictures of Desmodium spp. 595 highlighting their trichomes as well as immobilized insect larvae and Abel Szabó for his support 596 in scanning electron microscopy. Special thanks to Prof. Ted Turlings' lab for providing maize 597 598 (Zea mays) seeds and Spodoptera frugiperda colonies and Prof. Peter Anderson for Spodoptera littoralis used in some pictures. We thank Prof. Marie Bengtsson, SLU, Sweden for providing 599 standards for identification purposes. The following funding agencies are acknowledged for 600 making this project possible: Sida, Food Security Program (ABD, TD), Ekhagastifelsen (ALE), 601 SLU Global (TD), the EU Erasmus Program (ES), János Bolyai Research Scholarship of the 602 Hungarian Academy of Sciences (BPM). 603

604605 AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

ALE, ABD and TD conceived the idea and designed the experiments. All the authors contributed at different stages to performing the experiments, data analysis and writing of the manuscript.

608

609 COMPETING INTEREST DECLARATION

- 610 The authors declare no competing interests.
- 611
- 612 ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
- 613
- 614 **Corresponding author**
- 615 Teun Dekker (teun.dekker@slu.se)
- 616
- 617 EXTENDED DATA
- 618

Fig. 1: Wind tunnel setup to study the oviposition repellency of *Desmodium intortum* volatiles. Two *Zea mays* cv. Delprim plants were placed in laminar filtered air flow with *D. intortum* (greenleaf *Desmodium*) or a plastic mimic plant directly upwind from the flight chamber containing two maize plants. A gravid *Spodoptera frugiperda* female was released in the wind tunnel. The number of egg batches laid on both maize plants were counted and the position of mimic plants and *D. intortum* plants were randomized.

625

626 Fig. 2: Heatmap showing relative amounts of headspace volatile compounds emitted from

627 intact, herbivore induced and mechanically damaged *Desmodium intortum*, Zea mays cv.

628 Delprim and *Melinis minutiflora* plants grown in a greenhouse. The absolute peak areas were

629 divided by the area of the internal standard peak and z-score was calculated (peak area - mean

- 630 peak area/standard deviation of peak). The dendrogram of compounds was constructed via
- 631 hierarchical clustering based on Euclidean distances. The major volatile constituents of intact D.

intortum headspace were 2-heptanone and 3-heptanone. Monoterpenoids were only detectable 632 after 48 hrs of S. frugiperda feeding, when (E)-4,8-dimethyl-nona-1,3,7-triene ((E)-DMNT), (Z)-633 β -ocimene, (E)- β -ocimene and (E)-alloocimene were emitted. The relative (E)-DMNT emission, 634 635 (E)- β -ocimene emission and total monoterpenoid emission of intact and herbivore induced D. intortum were significantly different in pairwise comparisons with Kruskal-Wallis tests and 636 pairwise comparisons with Wilcoxon rank sum test with Benjamini and Hochberg p-correction 637 $(\chi^2 = 57.315, p = 0.00012, \chi^2 = 52.321, p = 8.5*10^{-5}, and \chi^2 = 52.904, p = 7.74*10^{-4})$. Linalool, 638 β -myrcene were present in the headspace of intact maize. In response to 48 hrs of larval feeding 639 (E)-DMNT, (Z)- α -bergamotene, β -caryophyllene, (Z)- β -farnesene, humulene and β -bisabolene 640 were emitted. The relative (E)-DMNT emission and total sesquiterpenoid emission of intact and 641 642 herbivore induced Z. mays cv. Delprim was significantly different using the same statistical tests $(\chi^2 = 57.315, p = 3.1*10^{-4} \text{ and } \chi^2 = 59.163, p = 8.2*10^{-4})$. The volatile headspace of the both 643 intact and herbivore-induced M. minutiflora is composed of a variety of monoterpenoid and 644 sesquiterpenoid compounds, such as (E)-DMNT, limonene, germacrene-D. Neither the relative 645 (E)-DMNT emission nor the total monoterpenoid emission nor the total sesquiterpenoid emission 646 of intact and herbivore induced *M. minutiflora* were significantly different in the same statistical 647 tests ($\chi^2 = 57.315$, p = 0.62, $\chi^2 = 52.904$, p = 0.63 and $\chi^2 = 59.163$, p = 0.12). 648

649 650

Fig. 3: Ordination of volatile samples from intact, herbivore damaged and mechanically damaged *Desmodium intortum*, *Zea mays* cv. Delprim and *Melinis minutiflora* plants based on non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS). The NMDS plots were based on presenceabsence values and calculation of Jaccard-dissimilarity indices. The stress value of the plot is 0.138. Vectors represent correlations of volatile features with distribution of plant samples along the NMDS1 and NMDS2 axes.

657

658 Fig. 4: Volatile emission profile of intact and herbivore damaged *Desmodium intortum* and

659 Zea mays grown in soils with different microbial composition. The absolute peak areas were

divided by the area of the internal standard peak and z-score was calculated (peak area - mean

661 peak area/standard deviation of peak). The dendrogram of compounds was constructed via

- 662 hierarchical clustering based on Euclidean distances.
- 663

Fig. 5: The absence of volatile terpenoids in intact Desmodium intortum does not result 664 from poor soil microbiota and insufficient nodulation. a, Non-metric multidimensional 665 scaling (NMDS) ordination of volatile profiles from headspace of intact plants. b, NMDS 666 ordination of herbivore-damaged D. intortum plants grown in different soils in a greenhouse. The 667 stress values of NMDS ordination were 0.146 for intact and 0.120 for herbivore induced plants. 668 The volatile profile of intact D. intortum on different soil treatments largely overlap while upon 669 herbivory, some differentiation is observed. Scaling is based on Jaccard-distance matrix 670 671 calculated from centered area values for each compound. The stress values are 0.146 and 0.120 for NMDS ordination of intact and herbivore-induced samples. Based on PERMANOVA and 672 pairwise comparison of plants grown in different soil treatments the volatile profile of intact 673 $(F_{model} = 3.260, R^2 = 0.189, p_{adi} = 0.615)$ and herbivore-induced D. intortum $(F_{model} = 7.268, R^2 = 0.189, R^2 = 0.189)$ 674 675 0.326, $p_{adj} = 0.090$) did not cluster separately.

Fig. 6: The emission profile of Desmodium intortum and Zea mays cv. Delprim was not 677 significantly altered by soil microbial treatments. a, The relative (E)-4,8-dimethyl-nona-1,3,7-678 triene ((E)-DMNT) emission and (E)- β -ocimene emission of D. intortum and Z. mays cv. 679 680 Delprim plants grown in soils containing *Rhizobium* spp., mixture of mycorrhizal fungi and soil of push-pull fields. The absolute peak areas were divided by the area of the internal standard 681 peak to calculate relative values. The error bars show the standard error in relative emission units. 682 Inoculation did not alter significantly the relative (E)-DMNT ($\chi^2 = 80.156$, p = 0.303). **b**, Neither 683 did inoculation affect the (E)- β -ocimene ($\chi^2 = 7.688$, p = 0.103) emissions of intact D. intortum 684 plants based on pairwise comparisons with Kruskal-Wallis test with Wilcoxon rank sum test with 685 Benjamini and Hochberg p-correction. Herbivore induced D. intortum plants grown in different 686 soils were also not significantly different from each other in the relative (*E*)-DMNT ($\chi^2 = 5.153$, 687 p = 0.272) and (E)- β -ocimene ($\chi^2 = 80.395$, p = 0.268) emissions. 688

689

Fig. 7: Volatile emission of *Desmodium uncinatum* and *Desmodium intortum* compared to 690 Melinis minutiflora and Zea mays cv. Delprim. The heatmap shows the relative amounts of 691 volatile compounds emitted from intact D. intortum (greenleaf Desmodium), M. minutiflora and 692 693 D. uncinatum (silverleaf Desmodium) as well as herbivore-damaged Z. mays (maize) and D. uncinatum plants. The absolute peak areas were divided by the area of the internal standard peak 694 and z-score was calculated (peak area - mean peak area/standard deviation of peak). The 695 696 dendrogram of compounds was constructed via hierarchical clustering based on Euclidean 697 distances.

698

699 Fig. 8: Volatile emission of field grown *Desmodium intortum* and *Zea mays* plants from two locations. a, Heatmap volatile emissions of D. intortum (greenleaf Desmodium) and Z. mays 700 plants at locations in Tanzania and Uganda. The absolute peak areas were divided by the total 701 702 area of compounds belonging to monoterpenoids, sesquiterpenoids or green leaf volatiles per location and z-score was calculated (peak area - mean peak area/standard deviation of peak). The 703 dendrogram of compounds was constructed via hierarchical clustering based on Euclidean 704 705 distances. **b**, Similarly to greenhouse experiment, the constitutive emission of monoterpenoids, such as (E)-4,8-dimethyl-nona-1,3,7-triene ((E)-DMNT) and (E)- β -ocimene were not detectable 706 in case of *D. intortum* plants, due to possible underlying biotic and abiotic stressors emission of 707 (E)-DMNT was visible in a small fraction of D. intortum samples. Based on Kruskal-Wallis tests 708 and Wilcoxon rank sum test with Benjamini and Hochberg p-correction the relative (E)-DMNT 709 abundance of Z. mays volatile samples was significantly higher than that of D. intortum volatile 710 samples ($\chi^2 = 15.310$, $p = 2*10^{-3}$). c, Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) of the 711 volatile profile of *D. intortum* and *Z. mays* plants from field locations. The vectors represent the 712 correlation of volatile features with the distribution of plant samples along the NMDS1 and 713 NMDS2 axes. The stress value of the NMDS plot is 0.116. Based on PERMANOVA and 714 715 pairwise comparison the volatile profile of D. intortum and Z. mays were significantly different $(F_{model} = 8.816, R^2 = 0.149, p_{adj} = 1*10^{-3}).$ 716

717

718 Fig. 9: The survival probability of Spodoptera frugiperda on diets consisting of Desmodium

719 intortum (greenleaf Desmodium) or Zea mays cv. Delprim (maize) leaves. The Kaplan-Meier

survival curves show that larvae on D. intortum diet had significantly higher mortality than

121 larvae on Z. mays diet ($p = 2*10^{-16}$). The D. intortum diet resulted in a total mortality by the 4th

instar larval stage. The inset below the plot shows the number of specimens reaching eachdevelopmental stage on the two types of diets.

724

725 Fig. 10: Spodoptera littoralis larvae and adult Spodoptera frugiperda immobilized on Desmodium intortum and Desmodium uncinatum stems. a, Light microscopic picture of 726 trichomes on the stem of D. intortum. b-c, Despite the dense network of sharp, straight and 727 hooked trichomes, neonate larvae of Spodoptera spp. are able to graze and easily navigate 728 through the leaf surfaces of D. intortum. d-e, Immobilized S. littoralis larvae on stems of D. 729 uncinatum and on D. intortum stems. f, The cuticle of an S. littoralis larva pierced by uncinate 730 trichomes, the red arrows indicate puncture sites. g, Ovipositing S. frugiperda female 731 immobilized on D. intortum. h, Bradysia sp. immobilized on D. intortum leaves. i, 732 Hymenopteran insects immobilized on D. intortum stems at a volatile collection site in Mwanza, 733 Tanzania. 734





b

÷

day 0





compound

(E)-4,8-dimethylnona-1,3,7-triene (E)-ß-farnesene α-humulene ß-caryophyllene linalool monoterp 13 sesquiterp 1 sesquiterp 10 sesquiterp 11 sesquiterp 16 sesquiterp 25 sesquiterp 3 sesquiterp 4 sesquiterp 6 sesquiterp 9

















herbivory D. intortum • herbivory Z. mays cv. Delprim







Developmental stage

